

United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,620	07/11/2003	Keith D. Trott	RTN-141PUS	2919	
22494	22494 7590 03/27/2006			EXAMINER	
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 301A 354A TURNPIKE STREET CANTON, MA 02021-2714			WIMER, M	WIMER, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,620	TROTT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael C. Wimer	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. le timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters,	•
Disposition of Claims	(
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12-21 is/are pending in the short state of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10/14/2003 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4,6-9,12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 1, lines 4 and 13, it appears that "the" should be --than--.

In line 18, it appears that "of" should be inserted after "surface".

Lines 24-25 are not at all understood. It is unclear if all language should have been deleted or that some language is omitted.

In Claim 9, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the substrates".

Regarding Claim 15, lines 11-12 are not entirely understood because the feed circuits appear to be on the second, opposing surface, behind the first surface. Figure 2 shows the radiators on the first surface and the feeder portion 108 is

shown opposite on the second surface of the cavity plate 12. Clarification is

required in order to properly define the invention.

Claim 17 depends from itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1,4,6,9 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmovic (6771226).

Regarding Claims 1,4,6 and 9, Dujmovic shows in Figures 1 and 3, for example, a wideband antenna 10 comprised of two pairs of perpendicular notch radiators 16,18 and 20,22 having coincident phase centers and fed with coaxial cables and balun (col. 1, lines 11-55), forming a balanced symmetrical feed, all structure arranged as claimed. The bandwidth ratio of 3:1 does not appear to be specifically set forth by Dujmovic. However, such a wide bandwidth is easily achieved by the wide band device of Dujmovic Thus a skilled artisan would have found it obvious to provide in a particular antenna design, particularly since flared elements are inherently wideband. As to Claim 9, quarter wavelength resonance is widely accepted in antenna length, and thus obvious to the skilled antenna artisan.

5. Claims 7,8 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmovic (6771226) as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Chu et al. (5557291).

Regarding Claims 7 and 8, Chu et al are cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art and shows Vivaldi radiators mounted on substrates.

These types of radiators are the same ones referred to by Dujmovic in column 1 of that patent. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to provide dielectric substrates for antenna support as taught in this art.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2,3 and 12-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 15-21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 3/8/2006